

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/540,119	03/09/2006	Hans-Heinrich Gatzen Aptos	2133.099USU	8882
27623 OHLANDT. (	7590 01/22/200 GREELEY, RUGGIERO	EXAM	EXAMINER	
ONE LANDMARK SQUARE, 10TH FLOOR			RENNER, CRAIG A	
STAMFORD,	C1 06901	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/540,119	APTOS, HANS-HEINRICH GATZEN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Craig A. Renner	2627		

Office Action Summary		GAIZEN						
Onice Action Guilliary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Craig A. Renner	2627						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER Is LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after 50x (6) MONTHS from the naming date of the communication. All Failure to only within the set or extended period for reply will by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine aemed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 April 2008 &amp; 29 September 2008</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 13-26</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 13-26</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 April 2008</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
Certified copies of the priority document								
2. Certified copies of the priority document								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	ed in this Nationa	l Stage					
application from the International Burea								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	a.						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Art Unit: 2627

## DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

1. In view of the indicated allowability of claims to the elected invention, infra, and pursuant to MPEP § 821.04(a), the restriction requirement set forth in the Office action mailed on 24 July 2008 is hereby withdrawn since the non-elected claims depend upon and therefore necessarily require all the limitations of an allowable claim. Applicant's election and traversal filed 29 September 2008 has been considered, but is moot in view of the withdrawal of the restriction requirement, supra.

In view of the above noted withdrawal of the restriction requirement, applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Once a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

## Drawings

The drawings were received on 08 April 2008. These drawings are accepted.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,119 Page 3

Art Unit: 2627

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

a. In line 22 on page 26, "204" should be change to --203-- in order to be

consistent with the remainder of the disclosure.

b. In line 2 of claim 24, "read write" should be corrected to read --read-write--

for clarity and consistency.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

 Claims 1-10 and 13-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

a. Many elements in the claims are indefinite because they lack clear and/or positive antecedent basis including "the data surface" (line 13 of claim 1), "the read-write direction" (line 15 of claim 1, and lines 14-15 of claim 22), and "the track following" (line 8 in claim 22, and line 2 of claim 25).

b. In lines 2-3 of claim 2, it is indefinite as to whether the "at least one actuator element" is the same as that set forth in line 8 of claim 1, or if this "at least one actuator element" is in addition to that set forth in line 8 of claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,119

Art Unit: 2627

c. In lines 1-2 of claim 26, it is indefinite as to which of the "two electromagnetic actuator devices," set forth in line 6 of independent claim 1, is being referenced by "the at least one electromagnetic actuator device."

d. Claims 3-10, 13-21, and 23-24 inherit the indefiniteness associated with their respective base claims and stand rejected as well.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/540,119

Art Unit: 2627

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Craig A. Renner whose telephone number is (571) 272-7580. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 9:00 AM - 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, A. L. Wellington can be reached on (571) 272-4483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Craig A. Renner/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2627